ANNALS OF MEDICAL AND SURGICAL DERMATOLOGY
Sept-Dec 2024, VOL. 2, ISSUE 3, pp 4-9
https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013

RESEAPRO JOURNALS

REVIEW

OPEN ACCESS

Laser technology in dermatology: Effective solutions for tattoo removal and scar treatment

Pratyush Malik
Department of Biotechnology, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

Advancements in laser technology have significantly impacted dermatology, particularly in tattoo removal and scar revision. These non-invasive procedures utilize precise wavelengths of light to target pigments and tissues, facilitating either the breakdown of unwanted tattoo pigments or the remodeling of scar tissue. Notably, lasers such as Q-switched and picosecond types have proven effective in breaking down pigments, allowing the body to eliminate them naturally while minimizing damage to surrounding skin, thus reducing scarring. The increasing demand for these aesthetic procedures is driven by a growing interest in personal appearance and the desire for clear skin, particularly in professional settings. Fractional lasers are gaining attention for their ability to improve scar texture and color by stimulating collagen production. This review highlights recent innovations in laser technology that enhance precision, safety, and patient outcomes. It emphasizes integrating complementary treatments and considering patient-specific factors to optimize laser therapy. Ultimately, the discussion points toward the future of laser technology in dermatology, underscoring its potential to improve skin health and patient satisfaction in the evolving landscape of aesthetic medicine.

KEYWORDS

Laser technology; Tattoo removal; Skin health; Scar remodeling; Scar revision

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 August 2024;
Revised 4 September 2024;
Accepted 11 September 2024

Introduction

Advances in laser technology have transformed dermatology, especially for tattoo removal and scar revision, offering non-invasive options for patients seeking aesthetic improvements. Lasers deliver precise wavelengths of light energy that target pigments and tissues in the skin, promoting cell turnover or removing unwanted pigmentation. For tattoo removal, lasers such as Q-switched and picosecond lasers have demonstrated efficacy, in breaking down tattoo pigments into particles that the body can naturally eliminate. These lasers target specific colors, minimizing damage to surrounding tissues and reducing scarring [1].

The demand for effective laser-based procedures has grown with heightened interest in aesthetic enhancements and personal transformations. Tattoo removal, for example, is increasingly popular as more people reconsider their choices or seek professional, clear skin appearance for work and social reasons. Scar revision using lasers has gained attention for addressing both traumatic and surgical scars, improving both texture and color. Fractional lasers, in particular, have shown promise in remodeling scar tissue, stimulating collagen production, and refining skin texture [2,3].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive exploration of these advanced laser techniques, emphasizing recent innovations that enhance precision, safety, and patient outcomes. By focusing on the most current laser options and their specific applications, the review aims to inform clinicians and patients about the efficacy, limitations, and potential of laser technology in dermatology. Additionally, we will discuss integration with complementary treatments and consider patient-specific factors that can optimize laser therapy for both tattoo removal and scar revision, emphasizing a move towards precision and personalized care [3,4].

Fundamentals of Laser Technology in Skin Treatment

Introduction to laser-skin interaction

Lasers have transformed dermatological treatments by using focused light energy to target specific skin components without affecting the surrounding tissue. This interaction is governed by photothermolysis, where laser light selectively heats and destroys target structures like tattoo pigments or scar tissue [5].

Principles of selective photothermolysis and targeting

Selective photothermolysis is central to laser dermatology. It relies on matching the laser's wavelength and pulse duration to specific skin targets, allowing selective heating without extensive damage. For tattoo removal, this means targeting ink pigments in the dermis, while scar revision requires altering collagen structures. By fine-tuning these parameters, dermatologists can treat deep-seated pigments or scar tissue without excessive harm to surrounding areas [6,7].

Key laser parameters

Laser parameters play a crucial role in ensuring effective and safe treatment:

Wavelength: Determines the laser’s penetration depth and target specificity.

Pulse Duration: Controls the exposure time, critical for

*Correspondence: Mr. Pratyush Malik, Department of Biotechnology, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Odisha, India. E-mail: 2261091@biotech.kiit.ac.in

targeting microscopic skin structures without affecting nearby tissues.

Energy Density: Governs the energy delivered to the skin, impacting the treatment's effectiveness and safety.

Spot Size: Influences treatment precision and allows targeted areas to be efficiently covered.

Adjusting these parameters allows dermatologists to customize treatments based on patient needs and skin type [8,9].

Types of lasers in dermatology

Different lasers serve various dermatological purposes:

Q-Switched Lasers: Known for tattoo removal, as they emit short pulses that shatter ink particles.

Picosecond Lasers: An advanced option offering faster, more efficient pigment fragmentation with reduced side effects.

Fractional Lasers: Commonly used for scar treatment, they create microscopic skin channels to promote healing while preserving surrounding tissue.

These laser types each have unique advantages, catering to a range of skin conditions and tattoo pigment depths [8,10].

Challenges of traditional laser treatments

Traditional laser treatments face limitations, such as incomplete pigment removal, skin texture changes, and post-treatment hyperpigmentation. Q-switched lasers, though effective, can struggle with multicolored tattoos, while fractional lasers can occasionally lead to prolonged healing times. Addressing these challenges has led to the development of advanced lasers like picosecond and fractional CO_2 lasers, which offer more precise, effective treatment options with reduced recovery times [11,12].

Innovative Laser Techniques for Tattoo Removal

Picosecond lasers

Picosecond lasers have introduced significant advancements in tattoo removal by delivering ultra-short energy pulses, measured in trillionths of a second. Unlike traditional nanosecond lasers, which deliver energy in billionths of a second, the picosecond pulse duration increases the efficiency of tattoo pigment fragmentation. The rapid delivery allows for increased photomechanical effects, causing the ink particles to break down into smaller fragments that are more easily cleared by the body's immune system. Studies indicate that picosecond lasers achieve faster and more complete pigment clearance with fewer sessions than nanosecond alternatives, especially for difficult-to-remove colors such as blue and green. Additionally, picosecond lasers have demonstrated reduced post-treatment side effects, including decreased risk of scarring and pigmentation issues, as the shorter pulse duration minimizes heat accumulation in surrounding tissues [13].

Multi-wavelength systems for multi-colored tattoos

Tattoo removal often becomes more challenging with multi-colored tattoos due to the varying absorption spectra of different pigments. To address this, multi-wavelength laser systems have been developed, combining lasers of different wavelengths, such as 532 nm, 755 nm, and 1064 nm, to target a broader range of colors. For example, the 1064 nm wavelength is effective for black and dark inks, while the 532 nm wavelength is suited for reds, yellows, and oranges. The addition of the 755 nm wavelength improves the treatment of greens and blues, which are traditionally the hardest to remove. Multi-wavelength systems allow for simultaneous treatment of multiple ink colors, streamlining the removal process and increasing the overall efficacy. This approach has shown enhanced patient satisfaction, as patients experience faster, more comprehensive results without the need for multiple laser devices [14,15].

Laser treatment with micro needling or radio frequency

While lasers remain the cornerstone of tattoo removal, recent studies suggest that combining laser treatment with other dermatological techniques, such as microneedling or radiofrequency (RF), can improve outcomes. Microneedling involves creating micro-injuries in the skin, which enhances laser penetration and stimulates collagen production, potentially leading to smoother skin texture post-treatment. This technique can be especially beneficial for tattooed areas with significant scarring. Similarly, combining laser treatment with RF energy, which heats deeper skin layers, may facilitate more efficient ink clearance by targeting dermal pigmentation while minimizing superficial skin damage [16].

These combination approaches are currently under investigation, but preliminary studies indicate they may enhance pigment breakdown and improve recovery time. Patients receiving combination treatments often report better aesthetic results and reduced recurrence of ghosting, where residual outlines of the tattoo remain visible. However, limitations still exist, including the potential for increased skin sensitivity and a need for precise treatment protocols to avoid overstimulation of the skin [17].

Limitations

The latest advancements in laser technology have significantly improved the clinical efficacy of tattoo removal treatments. Picosecond lasers, in particular, have demonstrated high rates of pigment clearance across various tattoo colors, and combination therapies are showing promise in enhancing these results. Patient satisfaction with advanced techniques tends to be higher due to shorter treatment times, fewer side effects, and improved aesthetic outcomes. However, challenges remain, such as the high cost of picosecond laser devices and potential discomfort during treatment, which can require topical anesthetics or cooling systems to manage pain [18].

Additionally, the efficacy of tattoo removal varies based on factors such as ink depth, color, tattoo age, and skin type. Patients with darker skin tones may face higher risks of hyperpigmentation or hypopigmentation, necessitating careful parameter adjustments by clinicians. While advancements in laser technology continue to make tattoo removal more effective, further research is required to develop protocols that optimize outcomes for diverse skin types and tattoo characteristics [19,20].

Advanced Laser Approaches in Scar Revision

Fractional ablative and non-ablative lasers for scar remodeling

Fractional laser technology, both ablative and non-ablative, has become a cornerstone in scar treatment, especially for

https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013

hypertrophic and atrophic scars. Ablative lasers, such as the CO_2 and Er lasers, work by removing microscopic columns of skin tissue to trigger a wound-healing response. This process not only smooths scar texture but also promotes the growth of new, healthy skin, reducing the appearance of scar tissue. Non-ablative fractional lasers, including Nd and fractional picosecond lasers, bypass the epidermis to heat deeper dermal layers, thereby stimulating collagen remodeling without significant tissue removal [20].

Studies highlight that non-ablative lasers are particularly advantageous for patients with darker skin tones, as they reduce the risk of hyperpigmentation. Both types of lasers offer improved scar texture, elasticity, and pigmentation, with fractional ablative lasers generally providing faster and more dramatic results, although they often require longer downtime and post-procedure care [19].

Laser-assisted drug delivery (LADD)

A novel approach in scar treatment, Laser-Assisted Drug Delivery (LADD), combines laser treatment with topical medications to enhance drug absorption. Fractional lasers create microchannels in the skin, facilitating the penetration of topical agents like corticosteroids, 5-fluorouracil, and hyaluronic acid directly into the scar tissue. These agents can modulate collagen formation and reduce inflammation, making LADD especially effective for hypertrophic and keloid scars, which are challenging to treat with laser therapy alone. Clinical evidence suggests that LADD not only improves scar appearance but also extends the longevity of treatment effects by altering the underlying biology of scar tissue [21].

LADD has proven to be particularly beneficial in treating scars in areas where traditional laser treatments may be less effective due to limited drug penetration. For instance, corticosteroids applied post-laser treatment can reduce fibroblast activity and collagen deposition, leading to flatter, softer scars. However, the selection of drugs and the timing of application are critical to maximizing outcomes and avoiding potential side effects, such as skin irritation [22].

Laser-assisted surgery for optimizing surgical scars

Laser-assisted surgical techniques are being increasingly adopted to improve the aesthetic outcomes of surgical scars, both during and after wound closure. For instance, combining fractional laser therapy immediately post-surgery with surgical closure has shown promising results in reducing scar formation. Lasers can be used in a dual approach: ablative fractional lasers reduce surface irregularities and improve skin texture, while non-ablative lasers focus on enhancing collagen remodeling at a deeper level. This combined approach helps minimize scar visibility, improving skin tone, and flattening raised scars over time [23].

Additionally, laser-assisted surgery is particularly useful for challenging areas, such as the face or joints, where scar mobility and appearance are crucial. Early application of fractional lasers on surgical scars has been associated with better long-term cosmetic results, especially in patients prone to developing hypertrophic or keloid scars. Studies are ongoing to establish standardized protocols, including optimal timing and laser parameters, for integrating laser therapy into surgical scar management. Recent studies show that advanced laser techniques, especially when combined with other therapies, lead to significant improvements in scar quality, durability, and patient satisfaction. Fractional lasers, for instance, have been shown to reduce scar severity scores by 40-70%, with sustained improvements up to one year post-treatment. LADD and laser-assisted surgical techniques continue to exhibit favorable long-term results in terms of scar pliability, color, and texture. However, individual results vary based on scar type, skin type, and the specific laser parameters used [24,25].

Research also suggests that while these advanced laser approaches are effective, personalized treatment protocols and continued post-treatment care are essential to achieving optimal outcomes. Long-term studies are still needed to further validate these approaches, especially for diverse skin types and scar characteristics, but the emerging data support a promising role for advanced laser techniques in comprehensive scar revision strategies [26,27].

Side Effect Management in Advanced Laser Treatments

Advanced laser treatments for tattoo removal and scar revision have transformed dermatology, yet side effects such as skin pigmentation changes, blistering, and scarring remain a challenge. With the growing demand for such treatments, it's essential to address these potential risks while exploring new strategies to enhance patient safety, especially among individuals with darker skin tones who are more prone to adverse effects due to higher melanin levels [28].

Skin pigmentation

Hyperpigmentation, hypopigmentation, blistering, and scarring are common post-treatment side effects of laser procedures. Hyperpigmentation, where treated areas darken, often occurs in individuals with higher Fitzpatrick skin types due to laser-induced melanin overproduction. Conversely, hypopigmentation, or lightening of the skin, results from melanin destruction in the targeted areas. Blistering and erythema (redness) can also occur due to the intense heat and energy applied to the skin during treatment [29].

To mitigate these effects, dermatologists often customize laser parameters such as wavelength, pulse duration, and energy levels according to each patient's skin type. For instance, using a longer wavelength (e.g., 1064 nm) minimizes melanin absorption and is generally safer for darker skin types. Cooling techniques, like cryogen sprays and cold air, are also frequently used to protect the epidermis and reduce the risk of thermal damage. Pre-treatment protocols, such as topical agents that inhibit melanin production, can help prevent hyperpigmentation, especially in patients prone to dark spots [30].

Recent innovations have focused on limiting thermal diffusion and selectively targeting specific pigments or scar tissues. Picosecond lasers, for example, release energy at ultrashort intervals, reducing overall heat exposure and providing more controlled fragmentation of pigment particles. This helps minimize the risk of unintended damage to surrounding skin cells, making picosecond lasers an excellent option for both tattoo removal and scar revision, especially in patients with darker skin tones [31].

Fractional lasers are another advanced approach where laser energy is delivered in microbeams, creating tiny treatment

https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013

zones that stimulate collagen remodeling while leaving the surrounding skin untouched. This method reduces the risk of side effects and speeds up recovery. Research on combining lasers with micro-needling and other therapies is also promising, as these combination techniques can further reduce thermal injury by lowering the laser's power settings [30,31].

Case studies

Clinical studies have demonstrated varying degrees of success in reducing side effects with these advanced laser systems. In one case study, patients undergoing picosecond laser treatments for tattoo removal reported fewer instances of hyperpigmentation and faster recovery times compared to traditional nanosecond lasers. This aligns with guidelines recommending tailored treatment plans, especially for individuals with darker skin tones [32]. Safety protocols also emphasize patient screening for factors like recent sun exposure, prior treatments, and the use of photosensitizing medications, which could increase the risk of adverse effects. Additionally, a series of test spots can help determine skin sensitivity to laser treatment and predict potential side effects before proceeding with full-area treatment [33].

So therefore, while advanced laser techniques offer promising outcomes for tattoo removal and scar revision, patient-specific treatment protocols, cautious energy delivery, and the use of new-generation lasers are essential to maximize efficacy and minimize side effects. Continued research and clinical trials are essential to refine these techniques, particularly in diverse skin types, ensuring safe and effective dermatological treatments [34,35].

Limitations and Future Research

Despite significant advancements, laser technology in dermatology faces notable limitations. Technological constraints often stem from the difficulty of achieving consistent, effective results across diverse skin types and complex scarring or tattoo characteristics. Variables such as skin tone, scar type, and tattoo color directly influence treatment outcomes. For instance, darker skin tones are more susceptible to post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation, limiting the applicability of certain lasers. Additionally, some tattoo pigments remain challenging to target effectively, as color-specific absorption rates impact laser efficacy. This color selectivity issue complicates full pigment removal, particularly with multicolored tattoos [36].

Patient-specific responses further complicate treatment, with individual skin biology affecting outcomes and healing times. Personal variables such as age, immune response, and hormonal factors contribute to variability in collagen remodeling and pigment clearance, resulting in inconsistent results. This necessitates a tailored approach to each patient, yet the lack of standardization in parameters like wavelength and pulse duration introduces challenges for widespread clinical application [37].

Long-term outcomes and therapies

Long-term data on advanced laser treatments remain limited, especially regarding durability and side effects. Although initial studies indicate promising improvements in scar texture and tattoo clearance, the durability of these results over the years is not fully understood. Longitudinal studies could provide insight into the potential for relapse or the development of new skin issues, such as hyperpigmentation or scarring, years after treatment. Combination therapies represent a promising area of research, integrating lasers with other treatment modalities like microneedling, radiofrequency, and topical agents. Studies suggest that combining lasers with therapies like Laser-Assisted Drug Delivery (LADD) enhances treatment efficacy, yet the optimal combinations and protocols remain under investigation. Standardizing such protocols could pave the way for more consistent results across a broader patient demographic [38].

Future research can focus on developing more sophisticated, adaptable laser devices that accommodate diverse skin tones and scar or tattoo characteristics with minimal adverse effects. Innovations such as tunable lasers with adjustable wavelengths, energy levels, and pulse durations could improve precision and reduce side effects. Emerging technologies, such as real-time feedback systems within laser devices, could enable immediate adjustments during treatment, further personalizing the approach for each patient. The evolution of delivery systems, including the potential for portable and AI-integrated devices, could enhance accessibility and safety. For instance, AI algorithms may optimize laser settings based on patient data, ensuring precision and mitigating risks. Such advancements could revolutionize dermatological laser treatments, setting the stage for safer, more effective, and widely accessible options for tattoo removal and scar revision [39].

Patient-Centered Approach and Personalized Treatment Plans

With the evolution of laser technology in dermatology, a patient-centered approach that tailors' treatments to individual characteristics have become crucial. Individualized treatment plans that account for skin type, tattoo color, and scar type improve the safety and effectiveness of laser treatments for both tattoo removal and scar revision. Different skin types, as categorized by the Fitzpatrick scale, respond variably to laser wavelengths and energy settings. For instance, darker skin types are more prone to pigmentation changes, requiring adjustments in laser energy and wavelengths to minimize risks [40].

Tattoo characteristics, such as color and depth, also influence treatment choices. Multi-wavelength systems are often necessary for the effective removal of multicolored tattoos, as different colors absorb distinct wavelengths of light. Additionally, scar types (atrophic, hypertrophic, or keloid) require varying laser approaches; fractional ablative lasers, for example, can be beneficial for deep scars as they promote collagen remodeling [41].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is playing a transformative role in enhancing these personalized treatment plans. AI-driven platforms analyze patient data, including skin type, tattoo composition, and previous treatment outcomes, to predict treatment responses and optimize laser parameters. AI algorithms can adjust laser settings in real-time, improving both precision and safety. This not only enhances patient outcomes but also reduces the risk of adverse reactions by providing dermatologists with insights into optimal treatment

https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013

paths for each unique case [40]. This patient-centered, data-informed approach marks a shift toward precision dermatology, where treatments are not one-size-fits-all but rather customized for each individual, fostering better patient satisfaction and improved clinical outcomes [42].

Conclusions

Recent advancements in laser technology have significantly transformed the fields of tattoo removal and scar revision. The emergence of innovative laser systems, such as picosecond and multi-wavelength lasers, has enhanced the precision and efficacy of treatments while minimizing side effects. These advancements enable dermatologists to address the complexities of various tattoo pigments and scar types effectively, ultimately leading to higher patient satisfaction and improved outcomes the integration of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and wearable sensors, holds immense potential for further revolutionizing dermatology. AI can analyze patient-specific data to optimize treatment parameters in real-time, ensuring personalized care tailored to individual responses. Wearable sensorimotor skin responses during and after laser treatments, providing valuable feedback to clinicians and enabling proactive management of potential side effects.

As the field of precise therapy continues to evolve, we can anticipate a future where treatments are not only more effective but also safer and more personalized. This shift towards precision medicine promises to enhance the overall patient experience in dermatological care, making it a vital area for ongoing research and innovation. Ultimately, the combination of advanced laser techniques with cutting-edge technologies heralds a new era in skin treatments, paving the way for groundbreaking approaches that prioritize individual patient needs and outcomes.

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

1. Wu DC, Goldman MP, Wat H, Chan HH. A systematic review of picosecond laser in dermatology: evidence and recommendations. Lasers Surg Med. 2021;53(1):9-49. https://doi.org/10.1002/lsm.23244
2. Husain Z, Alster TS. The role of lasers and intense pulsed light technology in dermatology. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol. 2016:29-40. https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S69106
3. Torbeck RL, Schilling L, Khorasani H, Dover JS, Arndt KA, Saedi N. Evolution of the picosecond laser: a review of literature. Dermatol Surg. 2019;45(2):183-194. https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000001697
4. Adatto MA, Amir R, Bhawalkar J, Sierra R, Bankowski R, Rozen D, et al. New and advanced picosecond lasers for tattoo removal. InDiagnosis and therapy of tattoo complications 2017;(52):113-123. https://doi.org/10.1159/000450812
5. Balaraman B, Geddes ER, Friedman PM. Best reconstructive techniques: improving the final scar. Dermatol Surg. 2015;41: S265-S275. https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000496
6. Janocha A, Ziemba P, Jerzak A, Jakubowska K. Clinical use of lasers and energy-based devices in selected skin diseases. J Educ Health Sport. 2024;74:51726. https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2024.74.51726.
7. Bäumler W, Weiß KT. Laser assisted tattoo removal–state of the art and new developments. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2019;18:349-358. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8pp00416a
8. Freedman JR, Kaufman J, Metelitsa AI, Green JB. Picosecond lasers: the next generation of short-pulsed lasers., 33, 4. 2014;33(4):164-168. https://doi.org/10.12788/j.sder.0117
9. Garg S, Vashisht KR, Garg D, Oberoi B, Sharma G. Advancements in Laser Therapies for Dermal Hyperpigmentation in Skin of Color: A Comprehensive Literature Review and Experience of Sequential Laser Treatments in a Cohort of 122 Indian Patients. J Clin Med. 2024;13(7):2116. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13072116
10. Nunez JH, Strong AL, Comish P, Hespe GE, Harvey J, Sorkin M, Levi B. A review of laser therapies for the treatment of scarring and vascular anomalies. Adv Wound Care. 2023;12(2):68-84. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2021.0045
11. Kuehlmann B, Stern-Buchbinder Z, Wan DC, Friedstat JS, Gurtner GC. Beneath the surface: a review of laser remodeling of hypertrophic scars and burns. Adv Wound Care. 2019;8(4):168-176. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2018.0857
12. El Saftawy E, Sarhan R, Hamed A, Elhawary E, Sameh A. Lasers for cutaneous lesions: An update. Dermatol Ther. 2022;35(8):e15647. https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.15647
13. Lorgeou A, Perrillat Y, Gral N, Lagrange S, Lacour JP, Passeron T. Comparison of two picosecond lasers to a nanosecond laser for treating tattoos: a prospective randomized study on 49 patients. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2018;32(2):265-270. https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.14492
14. Choi MS, Seo HS, Kim JG, Choe SJ, Park BC, Kim MH, et al. Effects of picosecond laser on the multi-colored tattoo removal using Hartley guinea pig: A preliminary study. PLoS One. 2018;13(9): e0203370. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203370 .
15. Kono T, Chan HH, Groff WF, Imagawa K, Hanai U, Akamatsu T. Prospective comparison study of 532/1064 nm picosecond laser vs 532/1064 nm nanosecond laser in the treatment of professional tattoos in Asians. Laser Ther. 2020;29(1):47-52. https://doi.org/10.5978/islsm.20-OR-07
16. Bernstein EF, Schomacker KT, Basilavecchio LD, Plugis JM, Bhawalkar JD. A novel dual-wavelength, Nd: YAG, picosecond-domain laser safely and effectively removes multicolor tattoos. Lasers Surg Med. 2015;47(7):542-548. https://doi.org/10.1002/lsm.22391
17. Pinto F, Große-Büning S, Karsai S, Weiß C, Bäumler W, Hammes S, et al. Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet (Nd: YAG) 1064-nm picosecond laser vs. Nd: YAG 1064-nm nanosecond laser in tattoo removal: a randomized controlled single-blind clinical trial. Br J Dermatol. 2017;176(2):457-464. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.14962
18. Kauvar AN, Keaney TC, Alster T. Laser treatment of professional tattoos with a 1064/532-nm dual-wavelength picosecond laser. Dermatol Surg. 2017;43(12):1434-1440. https://doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000001257
19. Kasai K. Picosecond laser treatment for tattoos and benign cutaneous pigmented lesions (secondary publication). Laser Ther. 2017;26(4):274-281. https://doi.org/10.5978/islsm.17-RE-02
20. Pedrelli V, Azzopardi E, Azzopardi E, Tretti Clementoni M. Picosecond laser versus historical responses to Q-switched lasers for tattoo treatment. J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2020;22(4-5):210-214. https://doi.org/10.1080/14764172.2021.1886307
21. Kurniadi I, Tabri F, Madjid A, Anwar AI, Widita W. Laser tattoo removal: Fundamental principles and practical approach. Dermatol Ther. 2021;34(1):e14418. https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.14418
22. Anderson RR, Donelan MB, Hivnor C, Greeson E, Ross EV, Shumaker PR, et al. Laser treatment of traumatic scars with an emphasis on ablative fractional laser resurfacing: consensus report. JAMA Dermatol. 2014;150(2):187-193. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2013.7761
23. Bernabe RM, Choe D, Calero T, Lin J, Pham C, Dang J, et al. Laser-Assisted Drug Delivery in the Treatment of Hypertrophic Scars and Keloids: A Systematic Review. J Burn Care Res.

https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013

2024;45(3):590–600. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irae023
24. Willows BM, Ilyas M, Sharma A. Laser in the management of burn scars. Burns. 2017;43(7):1379-1389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2017.07.001
25. Rkein A, Ozog D, Waibel JS. Treatment of atrophic scars with fractionated CO2 laser facilitating delivery of topically applied poly-L-lactic acid. Dermatol Surg. 2014;40(6):624-631. https://doi.org/10.1111/dsu.0000000000000010
26. Kivi MK, Jafarzadeh A, Hosseini-Baharanchi FS, Salehi S, Goodarzi A. The efficacy, satisfaction, and safety of carbon dioxide (CO2) fractional laser in combination with pulsed dye laser (PDL) versus each one alone in the treatment of hypertrophic burn scars: a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. Lasers Med Sci. 2024;39(1):69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-024-03976-6
27. Foppiani JA, Khaity A, Al-Dardery NM, Hasan MT, El-Samahy M, Lee D, et al. Laser Therapy in Hypertrophic and Keloid Scars: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. Aesth Plast Surg. 2024:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00266-024-04027-9
28. Caesar RR. The Laissez-Faire Technique in Periocular Reconstruction. InTextbook of Advanced Dermatology: Pearls for Academia and Skin Clinics (Part 2) 2024;163-166. Bentham Science Publishers. https://doi.org/10.2174/97898152380991240101
29. Murakami T, Shigeki S. Pharmacotherapy for keloids and hypertrophic scars. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(9):4674. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25094674
30. Haghsay Khashechi E, Afaghmehr A, Heydari N, Barfar A, Shokri J. Laser-mediated Solutions: Breaking Barriers in Transdermal Drug Delivery. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech. 2024;25(6):142. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-024-02849-z
31. Piccolo D, Fusco I, Crisman G, Zingoni T, Conforti C. Efficacy and Safety of Q-Switched 1064/532 nm Nd: YAG Lasers on Benign Hypermelanosis in Dark-Skinned Individuals—A Preliminary Study. J Clin Med. 2024;13(6):1615. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13061615
32. Kassirer S, Zachary CB, Marini L, Adatto M, Landau M. Laser tattoo removal strategies: Part II: A review of the methods, techniques, and complications involved in tattoo removal. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2024.05.097
33. Jiryis B, Toledano O, Avitan-Hersh E, Khamaysi Z. Management of Melasma: Laser and Other Therapies—Review Study. J Clin Med. 2024;13(5):1468. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13051468
34. Gurnani P, Williams N, Ghadah AH, Chukwuma O, Roth R, Fajardo F, et al. Comparing the efficacy and safety of laser treatments in tattoo removal: a systematic review. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2022;87(1):103-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.07.117
35. Leszczynski R, da Silva CA, Pinto AC, Kuczynski U, da Silva EM. Laser therapy for treating hypertrophic and keloid scars. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2022(9). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011642.pub2
36. Sadick NS, Cardona A. Laser treatment for facial acne scars: a review. J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2018;20(7-8):424-435. https://doi.org/10.1080/14764172.2018.1461230
37. Tjipta A, Ramadhan H, Lubis RA. Immune response in laser tattoo Removal: A systematic review. J Lasers Med Sci. 2023;14. https://doi.org/10.34172/jlms.2023.66
38. Betarbet U, Blalock TW. Keloids: a review of etiology, prevention, and treatment. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2020;13(2):33.
39. Goldust M. Progress and challenges of artificial intelligence in skin of color. Int J Dermatol. 2024;63(4):409-410. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.17071
40. Kurganskaya IG. Personalized high-intensity laser therapy for patients with abnormal skin scars. Russian Journal of Physiotherapy, Balneology and Rehabilitation. 2020;19(5):278-285. https://doi.org/10.17816/1681-3456-2020-19-5-1
41. Gold MH, Berman B, Clementoni MT, Gauglitz GG, Nahai F, Murcia C. Updated international clinical recommendations on scar management: part 1—evaluating the evidence. Dermatol Surg. 2014;40(8): 817-824. https://doi.org/10.1111/dsu.0000000000000049
42. Miletta NR, Donelan MB, Hivnor CM. Management of trauma and burn scars: the dermatologist's role in expanding patient access to care. Cutis. 2017;100(1):18-20.

https://doi.org/10.61577/amsd.2024.100013